



Elmbridge Borough Council

... bridging the communities ...

Civic Centre
High Street, Esher
Surrey KT10 9SD
01372 474474
contactus@elmbridge.gov.uk
elmbridge.gov.uk

Sadiq Khan, Mayor of London
New London Plan
GLA City Hall
Post Point 18
FREEPOST RTJC-XBZZ-GJKZ
LONDON SE1 2AA

contact: Suzanne Parkes
Planning Policy Manager
direct line: 01372 474810
e-mail: sparkes@elmbridge.gov.uk
my ref: LondonPlan2018
your ref:

1st March 2018

SENT VIA EMAIL

Dear Mr Mayor,

Elmbridge Borough Council Response to the Draft London Plan 2019 – 2041 Consultation

Elmbridge Borough Council welcomes the opportunity to comment on the draft London Plan 2019 – 2041. As a neighbouring borough, the Council is particularly interested in those cross-boundary strategic planning issues that could have implications for the Borough and for its own Local Plan preparation as well as how the benefits of growth and development in London could be shared with other local authorities.

Having reviewed the new London Plan, the Council would like to convey its general support for the draft policies and policies. The Council welcomes the new concept of 'Good Growth', which the Mayor defines as growth that is socially and economically inclusive and environmentally sustainable (cross-cutting policies). Responding to the consultation in further detail, the Council has provided comments under the following headings:

- calculating housing need and taking account of the Government's proposed standard methodology;
- the identification of sub-housing market areas in London and how individual targets within London have been set;
- the delivery of the housing target;
- the type of homes to be delivered;
- the continued need for a strategic review of the Metropolitan Green Belt; and
- duty to co-operate regarding cross boundary and strategic issues relating to the London Plan.

Calculating housing need

The draft London Plan sets out an overall target of 66,000 new homes every year for a 10-year period between 2019/20 and 2028/29. The Council welcomes the increase proposed from the current target of 49,000 as set out in the Further Alterations to the London Plan (FALP) (2015).



Regarding the Government's proposed standard methodology for calculating the number of homes required (Planning for the right homes in the right places, September 2017), the Council is aware that if this were applied circ. 72,000 homes should be provided across London per annum. The Council understands that the GLA's figure of 66,000 new homes is based on its own projections which differ slightly due to the longer time periods and trends data used by the GLA. It is also understood that this approach has been used to inform the preparation of previous London Plans (and amendments) and agreed at successive Examinations in Public.

Based on the above, the Council supports the identified housing need for London of 66,000 homes per annum. Nevertheless, in doing so the Council calls on the Mayor to support other Local Planning Authorities (LPA) outside of London should they also choose to deviate from the proposed standard methodology, using the same approach as the GLA as part of their own individual Local Plan preparation.

Sub- market housing areas and the setting of individual targets within London

The Council appreciates the acknowledgement of the series of complex and interlinked sub-markets (paragraph 4.1.2 of the draft London Plan) and the opportunity that exists within London to plan strategically, setting individual targets within London based on opportunities for development and the sustainability of locations.

The GLA's policy push to expect Outer London Authorities to deliver an increase in housing is also generally welcomed. This is a shift from previous London Plans that sought a continued reliance on Inner London to deliver the homes Londoners require. As set out in the Council's response to the FALP, this has been a key issue for those authorities outside of London and particularly in those areas on the fringe of the GLA area, as any under-delivery has tended to place increased pressure on housing delivery in our areas.

The realistic nature of whether such housing targets can be achieved

As set out above, the Council welcomes the proposed increase in housing targets across London and the bridging of the gap of un-met housing need. In supporting this approach, the Council acknowledges that to deliver the increase in homes planned, a shift in delivery will be required for example, increased densities and the delivery of more smaller sites. The Council also understands that this approach will require increased funding and investment from Government particularly in terms of infrastructure.

In supporting the call for increased funding and investment into London, the Council requests that the Mayor considers how any improvements can benefit authorities outside London, acknowledging that infrastructure is a key-cross boundary issue.

The types of homes to be delivered

It is clear to the Council that to increase housing delivery within London, the draft Plan is pushing to increase densities on most sites, principally those in key locations such as transport hubs and in town centres. This is mostly evident through the introduction of a small sites target for each London Borough.

Whilst the concept of optimising densities is supported in principle, the Council is concerned as to the type of homes that will be delivered because of this approach. The focus on higher density development across London and, within the Opportunity Areas in particular, is likely to only yield high-rise development of 1 and 2-bedroom units. The London Strategic Housing

Market Assessment (2017) identifies smaller units as the predominate need however, 29% of London's housing need does consist of family sized homes (3 and 4+ bedrooms).

By continuing to focus on increasing densities and thus the delivery of predominately smaller units, this approach fails to provide for the varying types of homes required. Inevitably this will lead to increased out-ward migration from London into neighbouring boroughs and districts putting significant pressure not only on their need to deliver more homes but also the supporting infrastructure required to support such growth. This includes schools, public services such as Health Centre and, in particular road and railway infrastructure for which, there is already some deficit.

A strategic review of the Metropolitan Green Belt

The Council notes that throughout the draft London Plan there is the presumption in favour of developing previously developed land and that the continued protection of Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) and other open land is strongly advocated. Whilst the approach to developing on brownfield land first is supported, it is considered that beyond the first 10-year period (2018/19 – 2028/29), London will have reached a point where the Mayor will need to look at all options available.

Such options must include a review of the Metropolitan Green Belt which needs to be carried out as soon as possible in order for Boroughs outside of the GLA area but with Metropolitan Green Belt in their boroughs and districts, such as Elmbridge, to be able to progress their Local Plans covering the next 15+ years. This will be particularly important as the Mayor will be aware that several Outer London Boroughs and in those local authorities on the London-fringe covered by the wider-Metropolitan Green Belt, Elmbridge included, are undertaking reviews of the Green Belt within their areas as part of the preparation of their respective Local Plans. Such reviews are in many cases concluding that there are areas of Green Belt, no longer sufficiently meeting the purposes of the designation as defined in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), that could be considered for future development.

However, without the benefit of a regional plan, local authorities within the South East are applying varying methodologies. This ad hoc nature is leading to inconsistencies and potentially, missed opportunities. Given the importance of recognising the strategic nature of Green Belt, the Council would strongly urge the Mayor to instigate a strategic review of the entire Metropolitan Green Belt (within London and outside).

In addition, with the proposed Kingston Opportunity Area (Policy SD1) and the links to increased development opportunities in the Chessington area (including up to 9,000 homes) as a result of Crossrail 2 South, the Council fails to recognise how these can be achieved without potential releases of Green Belt land. The alternative would be to completely change the character of the area by extensive intensification of development on existing developed sites.

Duty to Co-operate

Throughout the original London Plan and the FALP, the need for a partnership approach to plan-making and addressing the key strategic issues, both by the Mayor and individual London Boroughs, was clearly stated. This has continued through to the new draft London Plan with a specific policy focusing on collaboration in the Wider South East (Policy SD2).

As a local authority immediately adjoining the Greater London boundary, it is extremely important that this Council continues to be informed of the continued development of the new London Plan. In particular, the proposed Kingston Opportunity Area.

However, relating back to the Mayor's own comments regarding the series of complex and interlinked sub-markets, the Mayor must acknowledge and recognise the findings of the Kingston & North-East Surrey SHMA (2016). That is that Kingston-upon-Thames falls within a sub-housing market area that includes the Surrey Authorities of Elmbridge Borough Council, Epsom & Ewell Borough Council and Mole Valley District Council.

On this basis, the Council strongly disagrees with the Mayor's statement that because of London's ability to plan strategically, boroughs are not required to carry out their own housing needs assessment but must plan for, and seek to deliver, the housing targets in the Plan. This ignores the biggest cross-boundary strategic planning issue that there is.

Kingston is part of the Kingston and North-East Surrey HMA and the Mayor is required to acknowledge this under the duty to cooperate. It is therefore strongly suggested that any housing delivery over the housing target for Kingston, as set out in the London Plan, is equally apportioned to London and the remainder of the Kingston & North-East Surrey HMA. This would also include a proportion of any housing delivery provided through the Opportunity Areas. Such an approach would recognise the linkages between areas, emphasising and truly embracing the duty. It is considered that the Planning Inspector would recognise the benefits of such an approach and the logic and pragmatism behind it and would expect this to be fully explored through a Statement of Common Ground with the HMA authorities.

Prior to any further deliberations on housing targets and amended policies following this consultation, the Council kindly requests an opportunity to discuss this proposal.

Conclusions

Whilst the Council welcomes the proposed increase in the London housing target, concern remain as to the type of homes that will be delivered and the pressure this could place on authorities outside of London to provide more family homes. The Council also continues to call for the Mayor to lead a strategic review of the Metropolitan Green Belt. This is required to ensure a consistent approach to seeking opportunities to deliver growth beyond the London Plan period (2028/29).

We look forward to any further iterations of the plan and would welcome further co-operation regarding the proposals for Kingston in terms of the Opportunity Area and also the mutual benefits of any over delivery in their housing target.

Please contact our Head of Planning Services Kim Tagliarini (01372 474702 / KTagliarini@elmbridge.gov.uk) if you have any queries on the points raised in our response.

Yours sincerely

Cllr Mrs Karen Randolph
Portfolio Holder for Planning Services