ELMBRIDGE BOROUGH COUNCIL

EAST AREA PLANNING SUB-COMMITTEE

REPORT of a meeting held on 21 July 2014

Members of Sub-Committee:
* Mrs. S.R. Kapadia (Chairman)
* Mrs. K. Randolph (Vice-Chairman)
* D.J. Archer
* A. Coomes
* G. Herbert
* Mrs. T. Shipley
* S.J. Waugh
* Tricia W. Bland
* Mrs. E.E. Dünweber
* Mrs. T. Izard
* Mrs. J.R. Turner

* Denotes attendance

(N. Haig-Brown was also present.)

1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST


In respect of application 2014/1246 – Paddock View, 35 Blair Avenue, Esher, whilst not a disclosable pecuniary interest or other interest under the Code of Conduct, Mrs. T. Shipley wished that it be noted that she knew the architect for the application.

In respect of application 2014/1246 – Paddock View, 35 Blair Avenue, Esher, whilst not a disclosable pecuniary interest or other interest under the Code of Conduct, given that he had promoted the application for consideration by the Sub-Committee, D.J. Archer wished that it be noted that he knew the applicant by virtue of them being a member of the Conservative Party.

In respect of application 2014/1556 – 26 Meadway, Esher, whilst not a disclosable pecuniary or other interest under the Code of Conduct, all Members of the Sub-Committee wished that it be noted that a fellow Member of the Council lived in Meadway.

MATTERS OF REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

2. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

(Link to Council Priorities: P6)

RESOLVED that those applications set out below be determined as indicated, in accordance with authority delegated to the Sub-Committee:
These Minutes should be referred to in conjunction with the Minutes of the subsequent meeting of the Council, where they are presented; and for completeness to the next relevant meeting when the Minutes are adopted.

(a) 2014/1246 – Paddock View, 35 Blair Avenue, Esher

Refuse for the reasons outlined in the agenda.

(b) 2014/1556 – 26 Meadway, Esher

Refuse for the reason outlined in the agenda.

(c) 2014/1626 – Apple Trees, The Causeway, Claygate

During the introduction of the item, the Senior Planning Officer advised that the conclusion paragraph of the Officer report was incorrect. The Sub-Committee also noted that Policy CS11 of the Elmbridge Core Strategy should have been included rather than Policy CS10.

Permit with conditions and informative as outlined in the agenda, subject to the following amended informative:

Amend Informative
1. REASONS FOR PERMISSION
This proposal for a two storey front extension and single storey rear extension following demolition of existing conservatory, study and front entrance porch is, after careful consideration, not considered to have a significant adverse effect on the host dwelling, neighbouring properties or the visual amenities of the area or street scene. This proposal was considered against saved policies HSG16, HSG20 and ENV2 of the Replacement Elmbridge Borough Local Plan 2000, CS11 and CS17 of the Elmbridge Core Strategy, the Elmbridge Design & Character SPD and the National Planning Policy Framework. It has been concluded that the development would not result in any significant harm that would justify refusal in the public interest.

(d) 2014/1632 – 41 Red Lane, Claygate

During the introduction of the item, the Senior Planning Officer advised that the conclusion paragraph of the Officer report was incorrect. The Sub-Committee also noted that Policy CS11 of the Elmbridge Core Strategy should have been included rather than Policy CS10.

Permit with conditions and informative as outlined in the agenda, subject to the following amended informative:

Amend Informative
1. REASONS FOR PERMISSION
This proposal for a first floor extension and single storey rear infill extension is, after careful consideration, not considered to have a significant adverse effect on the host dwelling, neighbouring
properties or the visual amenities of the area or street scene. This proposal was considered against saved policies HSG16, HSG20 and ENV2 of the Replacement Elmbridge Borough Local Plan 2000, CS11 and CS17 of the Elmbridge Core Strategy, the Elmbridge Design & Character SPD and the National Planning Policy Framework. It has been concluded that the development would not result in any significant harm that would justify refusal in the public interest.

The meeting commenced at 7.45 p.m. and concluded at 8.26 p.m.

MRS. S.R. KAPADIA
Chairman
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